# - <br> <br> LETTERS TO THE EDITOR 

 <br> <br> LETTERS TO THE EDITOR}

TRANSVERSE VIBRATIONS OF SIMPLY SUPPORTED RECTANGULAR PLATES WITH RECTANGULAR CUTOUTS CARRYING AN ELASTICALLY MOUNTED CONCENTRATED MASS

D. R. Avalos, H. A. Larrondo<br>Physics Department, School of Engineering, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, 7600-Mar del Plata, Argentina

and
P. A. A. Laura and R. E. Rossi

Institute of Applied Mechanics (CONICET) and Department of Engineering, Universidad Nacional del Sur, 8000-Bahia Blanca, Argentina
(Received 26 July 1996, and in final form 3 September 1996)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of vibrating structural elements with elastically mounted masses is of interest in several fields of technology. If the structure is a rectangular plate or slab with an orifice which has been produced for operational reasons e.g., passage of ducts, conduits or electrical connections, an exact mathematical solution seems out of the question even in the case where the outer boundary of the plate is simply supported, in view of the impossibility of satisfying the natural boundary conditions at the free edge of the hole.

Consider the mechanical system shown in Figure 1 when it executes small amplitude, transverse vibrations. The problem is of basic interest in several fields of technology: from slabs supporting engines or motors to printed circuit boards with electronic elements attached to them. These point masses elastically attached to the structural element modify drastically, in general, the normal modes and natural frequencies of the structure, as shown in several studies [1-6].

The exact mathematical treatment of the problem becomes exceedingly complicated if a hole or orifice is present in the plate, the edges remaining free. An approximate yet quite comprehensive and accurate solution to the overall vibrational problem is obtained in the present paper following the approach developed in previous studies [7, 8] which essentially consistes of using co-ordinate functions which yield, in combination with variational methods, very accurate or even exact results in the case where the plate or slab is simply connected. When the slab is traversed by an orifice or hole one simply deducts the strain and kinetic energy corresponding to the non-existing portion of the structure.

## 2. APPROXIMATE ANALYTICAL SOLUTION

The Rayleigh-Ritz method requires minimization of the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left[W^{\prime}\right]=U_{p}-T_{p}+U_{m}-T_{m}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (see Figure 1) $U_{p}=$ maximum strain energy of the plate, $T_{p}=$ maximum kinetic energy of the plate, $U_{m}=$ maximum strain energy of the mass-spring system, and $T_{m}=$ maximum strain energy of the point mass.



Figure 1. Vibrating system under consideration.

As has been shown elsewhere [6], each term in equation (1) can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{p}=\frac{D}{2} \int_{A_{p}}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W^{\prime}}{\partial x^{\prime 2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W^{\prime}}{\partial y^{\prime 2}}\right)^{2}-2(1-\mu)\left[\frac{\partial^{2} W^{\prime}}{\partial x^{\prime 2}} \frac{\partial^{2} W^{\prime}}{\partial y^{\prime 2}}-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W^{\prime}}{\partial x^{\prime} \partial y^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right\} \mathrm{d} x^{\prime} \mathrm{d} y^{\prime},\right.  \tag{2a}\\
& T_{p}=\frac{\rho h \omega^{2}}{2} \int_{A_{p}} W^{\prime 2} \mathrm{~d} x^{\prime} \mathrm{d} y^{\prime}, \quad U_{m}=\frac{k_{m}}{2} Z^{\prime 2}, \quad T_{m}=\frac{m \omega^{2}}{2}\left(Z^{\prime}+W_{m}^{\prime}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

= $=$ mass displacement amplitude relative to the plate, and $W_{m}^{\prime}=$ plate displacement amplitude at the mass position.

By using

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=E h^{3} / 12\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W^{\prime} / a, \quad x=x^{\prime} / a, \quad y=y^{\prime} / b, \quad Z=Z^{\prime} / a \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of square plates with concentric square cutouts (Figure $2 a$ ). Comparison with finite elements results [8]

| $\mu$ | $a_{1} / a$ | Finite element | Variational |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $1 / 6$ | $19 \cdot 929$ | $19 \cdot 955$ |
| $0 \cdot 3$ | $1 / 3$ | $21 \cdot 657$ | $21 \cdot 680$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 463$ | $19 \cdot 517$ |
|  | $1 / 6$ | $19 \cdot 205$ | $19 \cdot 268$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 147$ | $19 \cdot 205$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $19 \cdot 722$ | $19 \cdot 512$ |
|  | $1 / 3$ | $19 \cdot 772$ | $19 \cdot 819$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 4$ | $20 \cdot 773$ | $20 \cdot 815$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 5$ | $23 \cdot 473$ | $23 \cdot 519$ |

Table 2
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of rectangular plates with rectangular cutout in the middle of the rightmost border (Figure 2b). Comparison with finite elements results [8]

| $b / a$ | $a_{1} / a$ | Finite element | Variational |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 72$ | $19 \cdot 72$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 52$ | $19 \cdot 54$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 3$ | $19 \cdot 13$ | $19 \cdot 14$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $32 \cdot 05$ | $32 \cdot 06$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $1 / 6$ | $31 \cdot 80$ | $31 \cdot 82$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $31 \cdot 40$ | $31 \cdot 37$ |

equations (2) can be rendered non-dimensional. One gets

$$
\begin{align*}
J[W] & =\frac{D r}{2} \int_{A_{p}}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{2(1-\mu)}{r^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
& -\Omega^{2} \int_{A_{p}} W^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y+\frac{K}{r} Z^{2}-M \Omega^{2}\left(Z+W_{m}\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where as usual, $\Omega^{2}=\rho h \omega^{2} a^{4} / D$ is the non-dimensional frequency coefficient; $M=m / M_{p}$, $M_{p}$ being the mass of the plate without holes; and $r=b / a$.

Expressing the displacement amplitude $W(x, y)$ in terms of a double Fourier series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{a}(x, y)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} b_{m n} \sin (m \pi x) \sin (n \pi y) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and minimizing the governing functional with respect to the $b_{n m} \mathrm{~s}$ and $Z$, expression (5) yields an $(M \times N+1)$ homogeneous, linear system of equation in the $b_{n m} \mathrm{~s}$ and $Z$. A secular determinant in the natural frequency coefficients of the system results from the non-triviality condition. The present study is concerned with the determination of the fundamental and first excited frequency coefficients, $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$, in the case of plates with rectangular holes and carrying elastically mounted concentrated masses.

## 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

All calculations have been performed for a simply supported rectangular plate of uniform thickness taking $\mu=0 \cdot 30$; an exception made of a set of results presented in Table 1 where $\mu=0$. Using the Fourier series approach a $401 \times 401$ secular determinant was

Table 3
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of rectangular plates with rectangular cutout in the middle of the upper border (Figure 2c). Comparison with finite elements results [8]

| $b / a$ | $a_{1} / a$ | Finite element | Variational |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $49 \cdot 21$ | $49 \cdot 27$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $47 \cdot 70$ | $47 \cdot 89$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $44 \cdot 26$ | $44 \cdot 55$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $41 \cdot 13$ | $41 \cdot 42$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $40 \cdot 25$ | $40 \cdot 50$ |

Table 4
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of square plates with a square cutout at the rightmost border (Figure 3 ), when the spring-mass moves along a diagonal

| $a_{1} / a$ | Mass co-ordinates $(x / a, y / b)$ | $m / M_{p}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 1$ | $(0 \cdot 25,0 \cdot 25)$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $18 \cdot 69$ |
|  | $(0 \cdot 50,0 \cdot 50)$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 58$ |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 1$ | $16 \cdot 58$ |
|  | $(0 \cdot 75,0 \cdot 75)$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 04$ |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 1$ | $18 \cdot 68$ |
|  | $(0 \cdot 25,0 \cdot 25)$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 56$ |
|  | $(0 \cdot 50,0 \cdot 50)$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $18 \cdot 54$ |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 49$ |
|  | $(0 \cdot 75,0 \cdot 75)$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $16 \cdot 42$ |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 91$ |
|  | $(0 \cdot 25,0 \cdot 25)$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $18 \cdot 44$ |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 22$ |
|  | $(0 \cdot 50,0 \cdot 50)$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $18 \cdot 18$ |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 22$ |
|  | $(0 \cdot 75,0 \cdot 75)$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $15 \cdot 92$ |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $12 \cdot 41$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 1$ | $17 \cdot 85$ |  |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $15 \cdot 31$ |

Note: the mass is rigidly attached to the plate $(k \rightarrow \infty)$.
Table 5
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of rectangular plates with a rectangular cutout at the rightmost border (Figure 3), when the spring-mass moves along diagonal

| $b / a$ | $a_{1} / a$ | Mass co-ordinates ( $x / a, y / b$ ) | $m / M_{p}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/3 | $0 \cdot 1$ | (0.25, 0.25) | $0 \cdot 1$ | $30 \cdot 25$ |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $26 \cdot 10$ |
|  |  | (0.50, 0.50) | $0 \cdot 1$ | 26.74 |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 65$ |
|  |  | (0.75, 0.75$)$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $30 \cdot 23$ |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $26 \cdot 06$ |
| 2/3 | $0 \cdot 3$ | $(0 \cdot 25,0 \cdot 25)$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 29.67 |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 25.76 |
|  |  | (0.50, 0.50) | $0 \cdot 1$ | 25.97 |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 19.95 |
|  |  | (0.75, 0.75) | $0 \cdot 1$ | 28.93 |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 23.71 |
| 2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | ( $0 \cdot 25,0 \cdot 25$ ) | $0 \cdot 1$ | 46.09 |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 37.77 |
|  |  | (0.50, 0.50) | $0 \cdot 1$ | 40.57 |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $30 \cdot 43$ |
|  |  | (0.75, 0.75) | $0 \cdot 1$ | 46.07 |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 37.71 |
| 2 | $0 \cdot 3$ | ( $0 \cdot 25,0 \cdot 25$ ) | $0 \cdot 1$ |  |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 35.79 |
|  |  | (0.50, 0.50) | $0 \cdot 1$ | 35.79 |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 26.55 |
|  |  | (0.75, 0.75$)$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 41.49 |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdot 3$ | $34 \cdot 19$ |

[^0]Table 6
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in the case of square plates with different positions and values of mass-spring system when the cutout with $a_{1} / a=0 \cdot 1$ moves along the diagonal (Figure 4).

| Mass co-ordinates | $m / M_{p}$ | $K a^{2} / D$ | (a) |  | (b) |  | (c) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ |
| (4A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x / a=0.50$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | $3 \cdot 149$ | $19 \cdot 58$ | 3. 149 | 19.69 | 3.149 | 19.62 |
| $y / b=0.75$ |  | 10 | 9.539 | $20 \cdot 19$ | 9.554 | 20.27 | 9.553 | 20.20 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 17.63 | $41 \cdot 48$ | 17.75 | 41.31 | 17.70 | 41.24 |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 1.818 | 19.58 | 1.818 | 19.69 | 1.818 | 19.62 |
|  |  | 10 | 5.539 | 20.08 | $5 \cdot 546$ | $20 \cdot 17$ | $5 \cdot 546$ | 20.09 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 14.72 | $35 \cdot 30$ | $14 \cdot 77$ | $35 \cdot 11$ | 14.74 | 35.02 |
| (4B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x / a=0.75$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | $3 \cdot 153$ | $19 \cdot 56$ | $3 \cdot 153$ | 19.66 | $3 \cdot 153$ | 19.59 |
| $y / b=0.75$ |  | 10 | 9.680 | 19.86 | 9.685 | 19.96 | 9.685 | 19.89 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 18.50 | $40 \cdot 00$ | 18.60 | 39.86 | 18.54 | 39.76 |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1 | $1 \cdot 820$ | 19.56 | 1.820 | 19.66 | 1.820 | 19.59 |
|  |  | 10 | $5 \cdot 606$ | $19 \cdot 80$ | $5 \cdot 609$ | 19.90 | $5 \cdot 609$ | 19.83 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 16.41 | 31.68 | 16.41 | 31.60 | $16 \cdot 38$ | $31 \cdot 50$ |

posed for all the situations ( $M=20, N=20$ ). Special care has been taken to manipulate such a large determinant using 80 bit floating point variables (IEEE-standard temporary reals) in order to obtain accurate results.

Table 1 illustrates the case of a square plate with a concentric square cutout. Tables 2 and 3 show fundamental frequency coefficients in the case of rectangular plates with rectangular cutouts of equal aspect ratio at the middle point of the rightmost and upper border, respectively (see Figure 2). The results are compared with the finite element determinations available in the open literature for $\mu=0$ and $\mu=0.30$. The agreement

Table 7
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in the case of square plates with different positions and values of mass-spring system when the cutout with $a_{1} / a=0.3$ moves along the diagonal (Figure 4).

| Mass co-ordinates | $m / M_{p}$ | $K a^{2}$ D | (a) |  | (b) |  | ${ }^{(c)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ |
| (4A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x / a=0.50$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 3.144 | $19 \cdot 58$ | $3 \cdot 148$ | 18.97 | $3 \cdot 149$ | 18.50 |
| $y / b=0.75$ |  | 10 | 9.378 | $20 \cdot 42$ | 9.523 | 19.58 | 9.525 | 19.08 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 16.92 | 37.56 | $17 \cdot 11$ | $41 \cdot 26$ | 16.83 | $39 \cdot 90$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1 | $1 \cdot 815$ | 19.58 | 1.818 | 18.97 | 1.818 | $18 \cdot 50$ |
|  |  | 10 | $5 \cdot 456$ | $20 \cdot 27$ | 5.534 | $19 \cdot 46$ | 5.536 | 18.96 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 13.44 | $33 \cdot 11$ | 14.28 | $35 \cdot 02$ | $14 \cdot 18$ | 33.61 |
| (4B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x / a=0.75$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | $3 \cdot 151$ | $19 \cdot 54$ | $3 \cdot 152$ | 18.94 | $3 \cdot 153$ | 18.47 |
| $y / b=0.75$ |  | 10 | $9 \cdot 612$ | 19.94 | 9.674 | $19 \cdot 24$ | 9.674 | 18.76 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 18.15 | 37.31 | 17.95 | $40 \cdot 21$ | 17.60 | 38.45 |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 1.819 | 19.54 | 1.820 | 18.94 | 1.820 | 18.47 |
|  |  | 10 | 5.572 | 19.87 | 5.605 | $19 \cdot 18$ | 5.605 | 18.70 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 15.62 | $30 \cdot 93$ | 15.94 | 31.42 | 15.76 | $30 \cdot 29$ |

Table 8
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in the case of square plates with different positions and values of the mass-spring system when the cutout with $a_{1} / a=0.5$ moves along the diagonal (Figure 4).

| Mass co-ordinates | $m / M_{p}$ | $K a^{2} / D$ | (a) |  | $\overbrace{}^{\text {(c) }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ |
| (4A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x / a=0.50$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | $3 \cdot 125$ | 23.65 | 3.139 | 17.85 |
| $y / b=0.75$ |  | 10 | 8.912 | 24.85 | $9 \cdot 424$ | 18.67 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 16.54 | 31.81 | 15.47 | 38.75 |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1 | $1 \cdot 804$ | 23.65 | 1.816 | 17.86 |
|  |  | 10 | $5 \cdot 179$ | 24.72 | $5 \cdot 118$ | 18.50 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 11.02 | $30 \cdot 24$ | $13 \cdot 21$ | $35 \cdot 28$ |
| (4B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x / a=0.75$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 3.142 | 23.58 | $3 \cdot 152$ | 17.83 |
| $y / b=0.75$ |  | 10 | $9 \cdot 381$ | 24.25 | 9.636 | 18.22 |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 19.48 | 33.09 | 12.71 | 42.06 |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 1.814 | 23.58 | 1.820 | 17.80 |
|  |  | 10 | $5 \cdot 439$ | $24 \cdot 17$ | $5 \cdot 590$ | $18 \cdot 14$ |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 14.29 | $30 \cdot 41$ | $16 \cdot 13$ | $32 \cdot 11$ |

between the analytical approach and the finite element results is excellent for all the situations considered (the maximum differences are of the order of $0.2 \%$, an exception is Table 3 where the differences climb to almost $0 \cdot 6 \%$ ). Table 4 depicts fundamental

Table 9
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in the case of rectangular plates with $b / a=2 / 3$, when the cutout, with equal aspect ratio and $a_{1} / a=0 \cdot 3$, moves along the diagonal (Figure 4)

| Mass co-ordinates | $m / M_{p}$ | $K a^{2} / D$ | (a) |  | (c) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ | $\Omega_{1}$ | $\Omega_{2}$ |
| (4A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x / a=0.50$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | $3 \cdot 154$ | $30 \cdot 99$ | $3 \cdot 157$ | 30.05 |
| $y / b=0.75$ |  | 10 | 9.751 | 31.47 | 9.839 | $30 \cdot 35$ |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 26.54 | $62 \cdot 28$ | 27.30 | 58.59 |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 1.821 | 30.99 | 1.822 | $30 \cdot 05$ |
|  |  | 10 | 5.636 | 31.43 | $5 \cdot 685$ | $30 \cdot 33$ |
|  |  | $\infty$ | $20 \cdot 57$ | 58.90 | 22.83 | $55 \cdot 70$ |
| (4B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x / a=0.75$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | $3 \cdot 157$ | $30 \cdot 96$ | $3 \cdot 158$ | 30.03 |
| $y / b=0.75$ |  | 10 | $9 \cdot 851$ | $31 \cdot 18$ | 9.877 | $30 \cdot 17$ |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 28.68 | 52.30 | 28.62 | 51.76 |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 1.823 | $30 \cdot 96$ | 1.823 | 30.03 |
|  |  | 10 | $5 \cdot 691$ | $31 \cdot 16$ | $5 \cdot 705$ | $30 \cdot 16$ |
|  |  | $\infty$ | $24 \cdot 16$ | $44 \cdot 84$ | $25 \cdot 23$ | $42 \cdot 68$ |
| (4C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x / a=0.75$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 3.154 | $30 \cdot 99$ | $3 \cdot 156$ | $30 \cdot 04$ |
| $y / b=0.50$ |  | 10 | 9.757 | 31.43 | 9.815 | $30 \cdot 32$ |
|  |  | $\infty$ | 26.68 | $50 \cdot 40$ | 27.33 | $49 \cdot 52$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 1 | 1.821 | $30 \cdot 99$ | 1.822 | $30 \cdot 04$ |
|  |  | 10 | $5 \cdot 640$ | 31.40 | $5 \cdot 671$ | $30 \cdot 30$ |
|  |  | $\infty$ | $20 \cdot 73$ | $45 \cdot 54$ | 22.45 | $42 \cdot 90$ |



Figure 2. Vibrating simply supported plates with cutouts of the same aspect ratio.


Figure 3. Vibrating simply supported rectangular plate with free, rectangular cutout of the same aspect ratio at the rightmost border when the mass-spring system moves along one of the diagonals.
frequency coefficients in the case of square plates with square cutouts at the middle point of the right border as the same mass, rigidly attached to the plate, is displaced along a diagonal, see Figure 3.

Table 5 shows values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of rectangular plates, with cutouts of the same aspect ratio, located at the middle of the right side, as the mass is displaced along one of the diagonals. Tables 6-8 depict values of the fundamental and first excited frequency coefficients, $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$, for the case of square plates as the center of the cut-out is displaced along a diagonal, Figure 4, and for different values of mass, spring constant and mass-spring co-ordinates. Table 9 shows values of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in the case of rectangular plates, with $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}=2 / 3$, when an equal aspect ratio cutout, with $a_{1} / a=0 \cdot 3$, takes the following two positions along the diagonal: $x=a_{1} / 2$ and $y=b_{1} / 2$ and $x=a / 2$ and $y=b / 2$; again for different values of mass, spring constant and mass-spring system co-ordinates.

As a general conclusion one may say that the fact that the use of a double Fourier series yields results (presumably very accurate) as a large size determinantal equation is quite interesting from an academic viewpoint in view of the fact that, individually, each co-ordinate function does not satisfy the boundary conditions at the edge of the hole. However, as the size of the determinant approaches infinity, the natural boundary conditions at the hole edges tend to be satisfied [9]. The mathematical model is quite realistic, within the realm of the classical theory of vibrating plates. The same approach is valid in the case of orthotropic, simply supported rectangular plates. For other types


Figure 4. Mechanical system under analysis when the cutout is displaced along the diagonal. Positions of the cut out center: (a) $x_{1}=a / 2, y_{1}=b / 2$; (b) $x_{1}=a / 4, y_{1}=b / 4$; (c) $x_{1}=a_{1} / 2, y_{1}=b_{1} / 2$.
of boundary conditions one would express the displacement in terms of co-ordinate functions which must satisfy, at least, the essential boundary conditions and then apply the same general procedure.
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[^0]:    Note: the mass is rigidly attached to the plate $\left(f_{2} \rightarrow \infty\right)$.

